I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and Case: 1:08-gd-50065x DAB the optim#on412 in milerate. 04/09/08 1 of 2. Page TIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

APR - 2 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Attest: Geri M. Smith, Clerk
U.S. District Court
Northern District of Ohio

By: /S/Jennifer Smolinski

Deputy Clerk



MAR 17 2008

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)



CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-2)

On February 27, 2008, the Panel transferred 20 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See ___F.Supp.2d___ (J.P.M.L. 2008) With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of February 27, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

APR - 2 2008

FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jeffery N. Lüthi

Clerk of the Panel

Case: 1:08-gd-50065-DAP Doc #: 41 Filed: 04/09/08 2 of 2. PageID #: 252

IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1909

SCHEDULE CTO-2 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. DIV. C.A. # CASE CAPTION

CALIFORNIA CENTRAL

CAC 2 08-1110 Priscilla Geffen, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al. Opposed 3/28/08

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

CAN 3 08-973 Joe V. Sanchez, et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. CAN 3 08-974

Karen Brown, etc. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

NEW JERSEY

NJ 2 08-881 Diane O'Reilly, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.

OKLAHOMA NORTHERN

OKN 4 08-98 Edyth Foster v. General Electric Co., et al.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 08-1060 Zbigniew Marcinczyk, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

RHODE ISLAND

RI 1 08-68 John Smyth, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

TENNESSEE MIDDLE

TNM 3 08-172 Sang Walker, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.

TENNESSEE WESTERN

TNW 2 08-2104 Thomas Lis, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.

VIRGINIA WESTERN

VAW 5 08-15 Herbert C. Eavers, Jr. v. Bayer Corp., et al.

WISCONSIN WESTERN

WIW 3 08-129 Barbara Snyder, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.